

## Bomb. Bomb. Bomb. ... Bomb. Bomb Iran

# NOT!

There have been several articles in the press lately that have suggested that Israel might attack Iranian nuclear facilities.

*The British military is accelerating planning for its part in a potential US attack against Iran's nuclear facilities, The Guardian reported on Wednesday.*

*According to the report, the UK Ministry of Defense believes the US may speed up plans for targeted missile strikes of certain Iranian nuclear targets. The Guardian quoted British officials as saying the UK would assist the US militarily if such a mission were to take place.*

( <http://www.jpost.com/DiplomacyAndPolitics/Article.aspx?id=244160> )

*Wednesday, Nov. 2, shortly after announcing the successful test launch from the Palmachim base of a new, intercontinental ballistic missile capable of carrying a nuclear warhead, Israel disclosed in unusual detail a joint Israeli-Italian air exercise ending last Friday, Nov. 29, at Sardinia.*

*Foreign sources identified the ICBM as an upgraded Jericho 3 said able to deliver a 750-kilo nuclear warhead to a distance of 7,000 kilometers - further, if fitted with a smaller warhead. Western intelligence experts estimate that 42 missiles with conventional warheads are enough to seriously disable Iran's main nuclear facilities in Natanz, Isfahan and Arak.*

*As for Iran's newest subterranean Fordo facility near Qom, the US supplied Israel with GBU-28 bunker busters in the third week of September. (<http://www.debka.com/article/21441/>)*

*The sudden rush of military news Wednesday, Nov. 2, is part of an orchestrated Western performance to convince Tehran that the US, Britain and Israel are on the verge of a military operation against its nuclear installations. Directed from Washington, it is meant to warn Iran that the play could become a reality show if it refuses to give up the drive for a nuclear weapon. President Barack Obama may then decide to strike Revolutionary Guards Corps targets, the bulwark of the Islamic regime, and its strategic infrastructure, thereby knocking over the key props holding up the regime of the ayatollahs. (<http://www.debka.com/article/21443/>)*

Please read all of the articles for further important information.

**Analysis.** Are you people bloody nuts?!!! I can understand Israel being a bit apprehensive about ayatollahs with nukes but all of this theater of the absurd? Give me a break.

There is no simple, surgical attack on Iran's nuclear program. There is also no doubt that Iran will retaliate with all its remaining might. For example,

*The Lebanese Hizballah leader Hassan Nasrallah, while inspecting his fighting units in the last two weeks, has briefed commanders on updated operational plans for firing 10,000 rockets at Tel Aviv and Israel's air force and reserve mobilization bases in a surprise attack, debkafile's military sources report.*

*"The Zionist enemy cannot stand up to a salvo on that scale," he told them. "He can't locate our secret launching bases or put a stop to a missile offensive that is sure to determine the war's outcome."*

*He assured the troops that Hizballah is capable of fighting Israel without Iranian or Syrian help.*

(<http://www.debka.com/article/21451/>)

Please reference the map with the Debka article.

When you have 10,000 missiles ranging in size from Grads to Fajr110s, it makes little difference whether you salvo them or ripple fire them. The only way you stop that many missiles is to nuke Lebanon south of the Litani River and fry everything in sight that might hide or launch a missile. A couple of well placed 150 kiloton air bursts should do that. Oh, and don't forget the Bekaa Valley logistics depots and firing sites, especially the ones for the Scuds that are parked in Syria. And Israel had best preempt in Lebanon.

And we haven't even begun to discuss what Iran can do in the Persian Gulf to make life miserable for the rest of the World. Does anybody suspect for as minute that the People's Republic of China (PRC) will stand idly by while Iran closes the Straits of Hormuz? The PRC gets way too much Iranian oil to allow this to happen.

So why is Israel et. al. so hot to start a regional war? They don't have to. The Iranians may well start it for them. How long do you believe it will be before much of Iraq becomes a *de facto* part of Iran? Iran needs the refineries located around Basra to augment its own inadequate refinery capability. Iran sees control of Iraq's oil and gas reserves as augmenting its own and Iraq has more oil than Iran. But most importantly Iraq is the home to the Imam Ali and Imam Hussein mosques. It is from the Imam Hussein mosque that the Hidden Imam is supposed to reappear.

Iran also wants to complete the northern arm of the Shiite Crescent. That way Saudi Arabia is functionally if not totally physically encircled. From that will come the beginning of the Sunni-Shiite war for the control of the Two Mosques and the leadership of Islam. Sometime during all of this combat, Iran may launch a nuclear weapon at Israel. Or they might not. Can Iran be sure that its missile won't be shot down or misdirected to Al Qods? Probably not. Iran, through Hezbollah, will use conventional weapons and maybe chemical weapons to destroy Israel. Nukes most likely will be unnecessary and downright dangerous.

The International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) report due November eighth will probably be a suspicions confirmed report. Yes, Iran is building nuclear weapons. The North Koreans are helping. Old news. Nor will the Iranians stop their nuclear weapons program. The greater goal of bringing about the conditions for the return of the hidden Imam demand massive chaos. What better chaos than a regional war in the Middle East with nuclear overtones? We should just remind the Iranians of what we will do to their Imam Ali and Imam Hussein mosques if they start the war. That just might dissuade them. Much better all the way around. Then again, probably not. Religious nuts are not easily discouraged.